

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 09/867,320 05/29/2001 Michael R. Schramm

> 7590 01/20/2004

Michael R Schramm 350 West 2000 South Perry, UT 84302

EXAMINER DOUGLAS, STEVEN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3751 DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Maria Company	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim$
Advisory Action	09/867,320	SCHRAMM, MICHA	EL R. (, /)
	Examiner	Art Unit	7/1/
	Steven O. Douglas	3751	()
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	ation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or 6	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be a second or an extension.</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.	
		NOTE ! .	
(a) Light they raise new issues that would require furth	`	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		· ·	
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:</li></ul>	ling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) 🗖 will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>36-41</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	•		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-And	
		Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3751	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner finds Applicant's argument with respect Examiner's rejection of claims 36-41 under 35 USC 251 non-convincing. Applicant's argument support comes from three examples set forth in MPEP 1412.02. It is brought to Applicant's attention that these examples are just examples and not statute or a patent rule. The subject of Recapture cannot be sumed up in three examples and can only be considered on a case by case basis. Therefore, Applicant's attention is again direct to Examiner's rejection under 35 USC 251 set forth in the Final rejection.

\_